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🞎 (Coop)   
(For Internship Supervisor /

Cooperative Education Coordinator)

**Rajamangala University of Technology**

**Evaluation Form for the Quality of Technology and Innovation**

**in Cooperative Education.**

**Instructions:**

1. The evaluator must be a Cooperative Education Coordinator, a Cooperative Education Committee Member, a Faculty Advisor, or an assigned representative.
2. Please mark (🗸) in the box that best represents the student’s performance.
3. Evaluation Criteria:
   * 5 = Excellent
   * 4 = Good
   * 3 = Fair
   * 2 = Needs Improvement
   * 1 = Requires Significant Improvement
   * Additional comments are welcome.

**1.General Information**

Student Name\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Student ID No.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Major\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Faculty\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Employer Name\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Evaluator Name\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**2. Report title**

Thai\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

English\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**3. Name of Innovation/Technology**

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**4. Type of Innovation**

🞏 Product Innovation

🞏 Process Innovation

🞏 Business Innovation

**5. Innovation Quality Assessment**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluation Criteria** | **Weight** | **Rating Scale** | | | | | **Score**  **(Weight × Rating)** |
| **5** | **4** | **3** | **2** | **1** |
| 1.Novelty of the Innovation – Whether it is entirely or partially new. | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Systematic Process in Developing the Innovation/Technology | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Identified Problem (Pain Point) that Led to Innovation | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Social Benefits & Practical Impact – How well the innovation benefits society and is visibly useful. | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Patent Filing / Commercialization – Whether the innovation has been patented or adopted by an organization. | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Total Score (Max 200 คะแนน)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**6. Overall Evaluation**

🞎 Excellent (151 - 200 points)

🞎 Very Good (101 - 150 points)

🞎 Good (51 - 100 points)

🞎 Fair (Below 50 points)

**7. Additional Comments**

.......................................................................................................................................................................................................

.......................................................................................................................................................................................................

Signature.......................................................

(.......................................................) Evaluator

**Scoring Guidelines for Technology and Innovation in Cooperative Education**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluation Criteria** | **Weight** | **Rating Scale** | | | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1.Novelty of the Innovation – Whether it is entirely or partially new. | 10 | Already developed, makes no difference. | Already developed, with partial improvements and unclear results. | Already developed, with partial improvements and good results. | Already developed, with further improvements and excellent results. | Completely new innovation, never seen before. |
| 2. Systematic Process in Developing the Innovation/Technology | 5 | No design process shown. | Design process shown, but unclear | Some steps of the design process shown. | Full design process shown, but no user or team involvement. | Full design process shown, with user and team involvement. |
| 3. Identified Problem (Pain Point) that Led to Innovation | 5 | Not caused by any problem. | Caused by a minor problem, but not very significant. | Caused by a minor but important problem. | Clearly defined and moderately significant problem. | Clearly defined and highly significant problem. |
| 4. Social Benefits & Practical Impact – How well the innovation benefits society and is visibly useful. | 10 | No apparent application or utilization. | Application evident, but effectiveness not measurable. | Application is evident, measurable, but the results are poor. | Application is evident, measurable, and the results are positive. | Clear application, measurable, and excellent results. |
| 5. Patent Filing / Commercialization – Whether the innovation has been patented or adopted by an organization. | 10 | No publication, patent registration, or commercial production. | Published, but no patent registration or commercial production. | Published and in the process of patent registration, but no commercial production. | Published and patented, but no commercial production. | Published, patented, and commercially produced. |