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🞎 (Coop)
(For Internship Supervisor /

Cooperative Education Coordinator)

 **Rajamangala University of Technology**

**Evaluation Form for the Quality of Technology and Innovation**

**in Cooperative Education.**

**Instructions:**

1. The evaluator must be a Cooperative Education Coordinator, a Cooperative Education Committee Member, a Faculty Advisor, or an assigned representative.
2. Please mark (🗸) in the box that best represents the student’s performance.
3. Evaluation Criteria:
	* 5 = Excellent
	* 4 = Good
	* 3 = Fair
	* 2 = Needs Improvement
	* 1 = Requires Significant Improvement
	* Additional comments are welcome.

**1.General Information**

Student Name\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Student ID No.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Major\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Faculty\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Employer Name\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Evaluator Name\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**2. Report title**

Thai\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

English\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**3. Name of Innovation/Technology**

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**4. Type of Innovation**

🞏 Product Innovation

🞏 Process Innovation

🞏 Business Innovation

**5. Innovation Quality Assessment**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluation Criteria** | **Weight** | **Rating Scale** | **Score** **(Weight × Rating)** |
| **5** | **4** | **3** | **2** | **1** |
| 1.Novelty of the Innovation – Whether it is entirely or partially new. | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Systematic Process in Developing the Innovation/Technology | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Identified Problem (Pain Point) that Led to Innovation  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Social Benefits & Practical Impact – How well the innovation benefits society and is visibly useful. | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Patent Filing / Commercialization – Whether the innovation has been patented or adopted by an organization. | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Total Score (Max 200 คะแนน)** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**6. Overall Evaluation**

 🞎 Excellent (151 - 200 points)

 🞎 Very Good (101 - 150 points)

 🞎 Good (51 - 100 points)

 🞎 Fair (Below 50 points)

**7. Additional Comments**

.......................................................................................................................................................................................................

.......................................................................................................................................................................................................

Signature.......................................................

 (.......................................................) Evaluator

**Scoring Guidelines for Technology and Innovation in Cooperative Education**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluation Criteria** | **Weight** | **Rating Scale** |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1.Novelty of the Innovation – Whether it is entirely or partially new. | 10 |  Already developed, makes no difference. | Already developed, with partial improvements and unclear results. |  Already developed, with partial improvements and good results. |  Already developed, with further improvements and excellent results. | Completely new innovation, never seen before. |
| 2. Systematic Process in Developing the Innovation/Technology | 5 | No design process shown. | Design process shown, but unclear | Some steps of the design process shown. | Full design process shown, but no user or team involvement. | Full design process shown, with user and team involvement. |
| 3. Identified Problem (Pain Point) that Led to Innovation  | 5 | Not caused by any problem. | Caused by a minor problem, but not very significant. | Caused by a minor but important problem. |  Clearly defined and moderately significant problem. |  Clearly defined and highly significant problem. |
| 4. Social Benefits & Practical Impact – How well the innovation benefits society and is visibly useful. | 10 | No apparent application or utilization. |  Application evident, but effectiveness not measurable. | Application is evident, measurable, but the results are poor. | Application is evident, measurable, and the results are positive. | Clear application, measurable, and excellent results. |
| 5. Patent Filing / Commercialization – Whether the innovation has been patented or adopted by an organization. | 10 | No publication, patent registration, or commercial production. | Published, but no patent registration or commercial production. | Published and in the process of patent registration, but no commercial production. | Published and patented, but no commercial production. | Published, patented, and commercially produced. |